



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/038,135	10/20/2001	Bruce N. Ames	B00-001-2	7465
23379 7	590 07/15/2003			•
RICHARD ARON OSMAN SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY LAW GROUP 75 DENISE DRIVE HILLSBOROUGH, CA 94010			EXAMINER .	
			JONES, DWAYNE C	
			ART UNIT	DADED MINORD
			ARI UNII	PAPER NUMBER
			1614	
		DATE MAILED: 07/15/2003	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		·			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summany	10/038,135	AMES ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit			
TI MAN NO DATE (SAL)	Dwayne C Jones	1614			
The MAILING DATE of this communicati Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sneet with t	ne c rrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT! - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply on. The areply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS statute, cause the application to become ABANE	be timely filed)) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or					
, <u> </u>	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	indoi Ex parto dadyio, 1000 o.b.	11, 100 0.0. 210.			
4) Claim(s) 62-131 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>62-131</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers	minor				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received in Appl	ication No			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.					
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)	_				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:					
I.S. Patent and Trademark Office	ice Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 5			



Application/Control Number: 10/038,135

Art Unit: 1614

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

- 1. Claims 62-131 are pending.
- 2. Claims 62-131 are rejected.
- 3. Claims 1-61 were cancelled as per applicants' response of March 17, 2003.

Election/Restrictions

4. The amendment filed on March 17, 2003 canceling all claims drawn to the nonelected invention and presenting only claims drawn to an elected invention is noted and considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 65-115 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The following reason supports this rejection. The generic hydroxylamine compound of NHOHCR₁R₂R₃ only defines the three variables of R₁, R₂ and R₃ in claim 64. Claims 65-115 recite the limitation "R" in each of these claims. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim because the compound of claim 64 does not use the variable of R nor does this claim define it. Accordingly, these claims are rendered vague and indefinite.



Application/Control Number: 10/038,135

Art Unit: 1614

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claim 62 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Krishna, M.C. et al. Krishna, M.C. et al. teach of monitoring and assessing the cytoprotective effects of nitroxides as well as hydroxylamine and amine precursors by using a screening or assay method to determine the antioxidant and cytoprotective properties of nitroxides as well as hydroxylamine and amine precursors for mammalian cells, (see abstract).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.



Application/Control Number: 10/038,135

Art Unit: 1614

- 11. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 12. Claims 62-131 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Krishna, M.C. et al. Krishna, M.C. et al. teach of monitoring and assessing the cytoprotective effects of nitroxides as well as hydroxylamine and amine precursors by using a screening or assay method to determine the antioxidant and cytoprotective properties of nitroxides as well as hydroxylamine and amine precursors for mammalian cells, (see abstract). It is well known in the art that the nitroxides as well as hydroxylamine and amine precursors protect the cells due to oxidative damage causing agents, such as H₂O₂. Clearly, it would have been obvious to the ordinary artisan to employ the teachings of Krishna, M.C. et al. namely assessing the cytoprotective effects of nitroxides as well as hydroxylamine and amine precursors against oxidative damage from oxidative insults, which are caused by oxidative damage causing agents, such as H₂O₂. The skilled artisan is provided with the necessary motivation to utilize the teachings of Krishna, M.C. et al. develop method for monitoring and screening the cytoprotective effects of nitroxides as well as hydroxylamine and amine precursors by

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/038,135

Art Unit: 1614

using a screening or assay method to determine the antioxidant and cytoprotective properties of nitroxides as well as hydroxylamine and amine precursors for mammalian cells. Furthermore, the prior art reference of Krishna, M.C. et al. provide the skilled artisan with the motivation to employ these screening methods in response to various conditions or disease states where there is an increase or a greater susceptibility to oxidative insults, such as ischemia and reperfusion.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D. C. Jones whose telephone number is (703) 308-4634. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Fridays from 8:30 am to 6:00 pm. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Mondays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marianne Seidel can be reached on (703) 308-4725. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

1235

MARY EXAMINER

Tèch. Ctr. 1614 July 12, 2003